**RCGP Mersey Faculty Annual Awards**

**Judging Criteria & Scoring**

Awards scored a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) for each category with a total maximum score of 20. Scoring notes are below. These are used as a guide and not as a strict script or checkbox.

**Judging Criteria and scores**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Notes to consider** | **Score** |
| First impression | * Does the poster immediate grab your attention or do you find yourself having to search for pertinent information? * Does the poster easily identify the topic without having to read too much? * How are colour schemes used, are they easy on the eye? * How crowded is the poster? * Does the poster stimulate interest and discussion? | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Layout | * Is there a good flow of information? * Is the content organised in a logical manner? * How easy is it to follow the sequence of the poster? * Does it concisely present the main points of poster? | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Readability | * Is font size/style easily readable? * Is there too much/too little text? * Is it easy to see pertinent information? * Pictures, digital images and graphs are of a high quality (not pixilated); text and graphics are easy to read * Are there many grammar or spelling mistakes? * Has data been presented in an appropriate format using tables or graphs? * Is the text clearly arranged so that the viewer can understand order without narration? | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| Content | * Are the aims and objectives clear and concise? * Does the work make note of references or source links? * Is data/charts/stats presented in a way that seems accurate and logical and is easy to understand within the context of the topic? Are legends used? * Is there sufficient information/explanation about the topic for the reader to make an informed opinion? * Is there a clear conclusion and outcome? | Score 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent) |
| * **TOTAL SCORE** | | **Score out of 20 =** |